

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: June 17, 2010  
Electronic Signature for Sharon M. Sintich: /Sharon M. Sintich/

Docket No.: 29915/00281CUS  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Riqiang Yan et al.

Application No.: 10/801,509

Confirmation No.: 4081

Filed: March 16, 2004

Art Unit: 1639

For: SUBSTRATES AND ASSAYS FOR BETA-  
SECRETASE ACTIVITY

Examiner: J. S. Lundgren

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION IN RESPONSE TO**  
**NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

MS Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is a response to the non-final Office Action mailed March 17, 2010, in which pending claim 94 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In addition, claims 84, 85, 87-92, 94-107, 109 and 110 are provisionally rejected under the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. This response is timely filed.

**Amendments to the Specification:** None

**Amendments to the Claims** begin on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 9 of this paper.